Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High

7066 Norway Road Neeses, South Carolina 29113

Grades 7–12 High School

Enrollment 332 Students

Principal Dr. Fred Moore 803-263-4832

Superintendent Dr. Darrell Johnson 803–534–8081

Board Chair Mr. Aaron Rudd 803-534-8081

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 1 7 0 15

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 5 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No				
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes				
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes				
2006	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Yes				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		,	gh Schools w dents Like O				
Downant	2004	2005	2006	2004		2006			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	66.7	60.6	79.1	62.6	53.6	58.1			
Passed 1 subtest	13.7	16.7	9.3	18.9	22.7	18.3			
Passed no subtests	19.6	22.7	11.6	18.5	23.7	26.9			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	79.2%	84.4%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP						
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	2.5	2.7				
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	5.0	2.9				
Seniors who met the grade point average	42.5	29.7				

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	48	132
Number of Diplomas	37	79
Rate	77.1%	67.1%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	83.1	69.1					
English 1	56.3	50.1					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	28.6	33.2					
Physical Science	19.4	21.0					
All Subjects	50.6	43.7					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate Eligibility for LIFE by Spring 2006 Scholarship		Graduation Rate					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	48	79.2	40	2.5	37	77.1	Yes	
Gender								
Male	21	61.9	14	0.0	20	70.0	N/A	
Female	27	92.6	26	3.8	28	82.1	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	13	84.6	8	12.5	9	88.9	N/A	
African American	35	77.1	32	0.0	38	76.3	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	38	94.7	34	2.9	37	86.5	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	10	20.0	6	0.0	11	45.5	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	48	79.2	40	2.5	48	77.1	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	48	79.2	40	2.5	48	77.1	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	32	71.9	31	71.0	31	71.0	N/A	
Full-pay meals	16	93.8	11	0.0	17	88.2	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

				RULIB

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	,	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-	,	-,-	٠,
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
	Enco	% \	/ %	/ %	%	%	P P	P. P. S.	Page 2
E	nglish/Lan	/ guage Art	s - State	<i> </i> Performa			3%		
All Students	43	100.0	12.5	45.0	27.5	15.0	45.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	14	100.0	16.7	41.7	16.7	25.0	50.0	N/A	N/A
Female	29	100.0	10.7	46.4	32.1	10.7	42.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
African American	32	100.0	16.1	41.9	25.8	16.1	41.9	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	39	100.0	5.4	48.6	29.7	16.2	48.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		., -		., -				., -	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	43	100.0	12.5	45.0	27.5	15.0	45.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		10010	12.0	10.0	20	10.0	10.0		1471
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	43	100.0	12.5	45.0	27.5	15.0	45.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		10010	12.0	10.0	20	10.0	10.0		1471
Subsidized meals	33	100.0	16.1	41.9	25.8	16.1	45.2	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A
							0.0	1 14// (14/71
	//athemati						00.5	V	
All Students	43	100.0	22.5	40.0	35.0	2.5	62.5	Yes	Yes
Gender		400.0	05.0	50.0	40.7	0.0	50.0	21/2	21/4
Male	14	100.0	25.0	50.0	16.7	8.3	58.3	N/A	N/A
Female	29	100.0	21.4	35.7	42.9	N/A	64.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		400.0	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	0.0	1/0	1/0
White	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
African American	32	100.0	29.0	35.5	32.3	3.2	61.3	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	39	100.0	16.2	43.2	37.8	2.7	67.6	N/A	N/A
Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	43	100.0	22.5	40.0	35.0	2.5	62.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	43	100.0	22.5	40.0	35.0	2.5	62.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals Full-pay meals	33	100.0	22.6 N/A	32.3 N/A	41.9 N/A	3.2 N/A	64.5 0.0	I/S N/A	I/S N/A

	Our School		inge from ast Year	Hig Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 332)						
Retention rate Attendance rate	7.0% 96.7%		om 10.5% om 97.0%		10.2% 95.0%	7.0% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	6.3%	Up from	4.3%		3.0%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	15.3%	Down fr	om 16.3%		15.6%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	10.5%		om 12.5%		15.4%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.3%	Up from	0.0%		1.8%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	1.0% N/A		om 8.1%		5.6% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	2.5%				2.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	2.0%	Down fr	om 4.5%		2.8%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	19.3%	'			3.5%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	129	Down fr	om 147		238	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	24.8%	ı '			18.8%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	88.3%	Down fr	om 95.2%		72.3%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed *Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 35)	N/A ents.	N/A	_		98.7%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	65.7%	Down fr	om 72.7%		49.7%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV				N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	21.2%	N/A			16.4%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	12.9%	Up from	6.7%		15.7%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	73.9%		om 77.0%		78.2%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	97.6%				94.6%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	. ,	Up 0.1% Down fr	om 20.1 days		41,390 .1 days	\$42,943 11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from			3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.3 to 1		om 21.1 to 1).7 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	92.8%	Up from			87.5%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 20.8			\$8,791	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	42.3%	Down fr	om 50.8%		51.7%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	52.1%				59.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Good 79.4%	No char			Good 87.4%	Excellent 92.8%
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation		Up from 71.9% No change			Yes	92.6% Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent				Good	Good
your addition interior data are reported.			Our	District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl				N/A		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	nly qualified teach	ners		9.3%		10.2%
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		State Object	cuve	Met S	No
Ciasses not taught by highly qualified teachers in	u 113 SU11001		0.0%			NO V

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Yes

94.0%*

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High School strives to prepare students to become successful, productive citizens in a global society and to reach their fullest potential by providing a broad and flexible curriculum that incorporates technical experiences in a safe and orderly environment. We believe also that diversity contributes to an enriched society. The H-K-T staff encourages students to reach their fullest potential by providing a sound, challenging, and researched-based instructional program. Instruction is data and standards-driven. Various teaching strategies and resources such as curriculum maps, Plato, MAP testing, and differentiated instruction are used to enhance student learning. In addition, the use of Accelerated Math and Reader programs play vital roles in strengthening the students' math and reading skills. Kaplan continues to be utilized to prepare students to take the SAT / ACT, and 75% of the senior class enrolled in college.

In keeping with H-K-T's motto, Promoting excellence, we believe that all individuals can learn and that learning is a lifelong process. Again this year Hunter-Kinard-Tyler met AYP; the middle school earned the Palmetto Silver Award; we improved on standardized test results, utilized technology resources, and provided job shadowing experiences, career development opportunities, and extracurricular activities. Our athletes performed very well in the classroom and on the courts and playing fields this year. The girls' basketball team repeated as state champions.

Parents, teachers, students and the community have an important role in education. Together, we can educate successful, productive members of society. We must work together because all people have value and can make worthwhile contributions. We look forward to our continued partnerships at Hunter-Kinard-Tyler High School where we are Promoting Excellence.

Titus Duren, Principal William Ray, School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	26	43	58				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	80.8%	67.4%	81.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.5%	76.2%	72.4%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	52.2%	86.0%	77.2%				

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.